arXiv:1202.3332v1 [math.CV] 15 Feb 2012

ON THE FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR* AND VIRENDRA KUMAR

Abstract. In the present investigation, we derive Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{L}_g}(\phi)$, introduced here. In addition to that, certain applications of our results are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Further let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions. Assume that ϕ is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) > 0$, which maps the unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis.

For any two analytic functions f and g, we say that f is *subordinate* to g or g is *superordinate* to f, denoted by $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function w with $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{U})$. A function $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{P}$ if $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

these classes were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [4]. Note that $\mathcal{S}^*(\frac{1+z}{1-z}) =: \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}(\frac{1+z}{1-z}) =: \mathcal{C}$ are the well known classes of starlike and convex functions respectively.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by (1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by

---

*Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, Starlike functions, Convex functions Subordination, Fekete-Szegö inequality.

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \tag{2}$$

then the Hadamard product(or convolution) $f * g$ of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n =: (g * f)(z).$$

In 1933, M. Fekete and G. Szegö [2] obtained the sharp bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ as a function of real parameter μ and proved that

$$|a_2^2 - \mu a_3| \leq 1 + 2\exp\left(-\frac{2\mu}{1-\mu}\right) \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1),$$

for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}$. Later the problem of finding the sharp bounds for the non-linear functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ of any compact family of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is known as the Fekete-Szegö problem or inequality. In the recent years several authors have investigated the Fekete-Szegö inequality for various subclasses of analytic functions [7, 8, 12, 15].

In the present investigation, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$, defined here. Applications of our main results are also discussed. In fact we generalize many earlier results in this direction [3, 4, 5, 8].

Definition 1.1. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ are respectively given by (1) and (2). We define the convolution operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ by

$$\mathscr{L}_g(f(z)) := f(z) * g(z).$$

We note that the operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ unifies many earlier linear operators for a suitable choice of the function $g(z)$. Some are listed below:

1. If $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \ldots (\alpha_l)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \ldots (\beta_m)_n} \frac{z^{n+1}}{(n)!}$, then the operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ coincides with the Dziok-Srivastava [1] linear operator $\mathcal{H}^{l,m}[\alpha_1]$.
2. If $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}}$, then $\mathscr{L}_g$ reduces to D^n, where D^n is the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [9].
3. For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^r z^n$, the operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ reduces to the operator $I_1(r, \lambda)$, defined by Sivaprasad *et al.* [11].
4. For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)} z^n$, the operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ coincides with the fractional derivative operator Ω^{δ}, defined by Owa and Srivastava [14].

Definition 1.2. Let α be a complex number. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$ if it satisfies

$$\Psi_g(f)(z) \prec \phi(z), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Psi_g(f)(z) := 1 + \frac{z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))} + \frac{z\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))} - \frac{(1-\alpha)z^2\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z)) + z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{(1-\alpha)z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z)) + \alpha\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))}$$

and $\mathscr{L}_g(f(z)) := f(z) * g(z)$.

Remark 1.3. For $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, we have $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi) = \mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{S}^1_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi) = \mathcal{C}(\phi)$.

Remark 1.4. If we take $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m z^n$, then the operator $\mathscr{L}_g$ reduces to the Sălăgean [10] differential operator $\mathcal{D}^m$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m a_n z^n, \quad m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Further, if we set $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ and $g = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m z^n$ in the above Definition 1.2, then the class $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{HS}^*_m(\alpha)$, recently introduced by Răducanu [8]. Răducanu in fact investigated the relationship property between the classes $\mathcal{HS}^*_m(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$ and obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class $\mathcal{HS}^*_m(\alpha)$.

We need the following Lemmas to prove our main results:

Lemma 1.5. [4] *If* $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots \in \mathcal{P}$. *Then*

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4v + 2 & \text{if} \quad v \leq 0; \\ 2 & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq v \leq 1; \\ 4v - 2 & \text{if} \quad v \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $v < 0$ *or* $v > 1$, *equality holds if and only if* $p_1(z)$ *is* $(1+z)/(1-z)$ *or one of its rotations. If* $0 < v < 1$, *then equality holds if and only if* $p_1(z)$ *is* $(1+z^2)/(1-z^2)$ *or one of its rotations. If* $v = 0$, *equality holds if and only if*

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4}$$

or one of its rotations. While for $v = 1$, *equality holds if and only if* $p_1(z)$ *is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of* $v = 0$.

Although the above upper bound is sharp, it can be improved as follows when $0 < v < 1$:

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1|^2 \leq 2, \quad 0 < v \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1|^2 \leq 2, \quad \frac{1}{2} < v \leq 1.$$

Lemma 1.6. [7] *If* $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots \in \mathcal{P}$. *Then for any complex number* v,

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2v - 1|\}$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ and } p_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 1.7. [6] *If the function* $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots \in \mathcal{P}$. *Then*

(1) $|c_n| \leq 2$ *for* $n \geq 1$,
(2) $|c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2| \leq 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}$.

2. The Fekete-Szegö Inequality

We begin with the following result with a coefficient estimate for the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $g(z)$ be given by (2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. Assume that $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1}{(1+\alpha)|b_2|} \tag{5}$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left(\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right) & \text{if} \quad \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|} & \text{if} \quad \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left(\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}\right) & \text{if} \quad \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}\left(\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - 1\right)$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}\left(1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2}\right).$$

The inequality (6) is sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= 1 + \frac{z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))} + \frac{z\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))} - \frac{(1-\alpha)z^2\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z)) + z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{(1-\alpha)z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z)) + \alpha\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))} \\ &= 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \cdots. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))} = 1 + a_2 b_2 z + [2a_3 b_3 - a_2^2 b_2^2]z^2 + \ldots,$$

$$1 + \frac{z\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z))}{\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))} = 1 + 2a_2 b_2 z + [6a_3 b_3 - 4a_2^2 b_2^2]z^2 + \cdots$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)z^2\mathscr{L}_g''(f(z)) + z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z))}{(1-\alpha)z\mathscr{L}_g'(f(z)) + \alpha\mathscr{L}_g(f(z))} = 1 + (2-\alpha)a_2 b_2 z + [(6-4\alpha)a_3 b_3 - (\alpha-2)^2 a_2^2 b_2^2]z^2 + \cdots.$$

Substituting these values in (7), we have

$$d_1 = (1+\alpha)a_2 b_2 \tag{8}$$

and

$$d_2 = 2(2\alpha+1)a_3 b_3 + (\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)a_2^2 b_2^2. \tag{9}$$

Since ϕ is univalent and $p \prec \phi$, the function $p_1(z)$ defined by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + \phi^{-1}(p(z))}{1 - \phi^{-1}(p(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots, \tag{10}$$

is analytic with positive real part in the unit disc $\mathbb{U}$. Further from (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \phi\left(\frac{p_1(z)-1}{p_1(z)+1}\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots}{2 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots}\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1 c_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}B_2 c_1^2\right] z^2 + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{2}B_1 c_1 \tag{11}$$

and

$$d_2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2 c_1^2. \tag{12}$$

From (8) and (11), we have

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2(1+\alpha)b_2}. \tag{13}$$

Similarly from (9) and (12), we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{[2B_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + B_2 c_1^2](1+\alpha)^2 - (\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)B_2^2 c_1^2}{8(2\alpha+1)(1+\alpha)^2 b_3}. \tag{14}$$

The inequality (5) now follows from (13) and the first part of Lemma 1.7.

By using (13) and (14), we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1}{4(2\alpha+1)b_3}[c_2 - v c_1^2], \tag{15}$$

where

$$v := \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right].$$

If $\mu \leq \sigma_1$, then an application of Lemma 1.5 gives

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left(\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right),$$

which is the first part of assertion (6).

Next, if $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, then by applying Lemma 1.5, we can write

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left(\frac{(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}\right),$$

which is the third part of assertion (6).

If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then equality holds if and only if $p_1(z)$ is given by (4) or one of its rotations.

If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right] = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{p_1(z)}=\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z}+\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z}\quad(0<\gamma<1, z\in\mathbb{U}).$$

Finally, we see that

$$a_3-\mu a_2^2=\frac{B_1}{4(2\alpha+1)b_3}\left[c_2-\frac{c_1^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{B_2}{B_1}+\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2}+\frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}\right)\right].$$

Therefore using Lemma 1.5, we get

$$|a_3-\mu a_2^2|\leq\frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\quad(\sigma_1\leq\mu\leq\sigma_2).$$

If $\sigma_1<\mu<\sigma_2$, then we have

$$p_1(z)=\frac{1+\lambda z^2}{1-\lambda z^2}\quad(0\leq\lambda\leq 1).$$

By an application of Lemma 1.5, we obtain our result. To show that the inequality (6) is sharp, we define the functions K^{ϕ_n} $(n=2,3,4,...)$ by

$$\Psi_g(K^{\phi_n})(z)=\phi(z^{n-1})\quad(K^{\phi_n}(0)=0=(K^{\phi_n})'(0)-1)$$

and the functions G^γ and H^γ $(0\leq\gamma\leq 1)$ by

$$\Psi_g(G^\gamma)(z)=\phi\left(\frac{z(z+\gamma)}{1+\gamma z}\right)\quad(G^\gamma(0)=0=(G^\gamma)'(0)-1)$$

and

$$\Psi_g(H^\gamma)(z)=\phi\left(-\frac{z(z+\gamma)}{1+\gamma z}\right)\quad(H^\gamma(0)=0=(H^\gamma)'(0)-1).$$

It is clear that the functions K^{ϕ_n} $(n=2,3,4,...), G^\gamma$ and H^γ $(0\leq\gamma\leq 1)$ are in the class $S^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\phi)$. In either cases $\mu<\sigma_1$ or $\mu>\sigma_2$, the equality holds if and only if f is K^{ϕ_2} or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1<\mu<\sigma_2$ the equality occurs if and only if f is K^{ϕ_3} or one of its rotations. If $\mu=\sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is G^γ or one of its rotations. If $\mu=\sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is H^γ or one of its rotations. □

Remark 2.2. Using Lemma 1.5 the result can be improved when $\sigma_1\leq\mu\leq\sigma_2$ as follows:

Let

$$\sigma_3:=\frac{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1b_3}\left(\frac{B_2}{B_1}-\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2}\right).$$

If $\sigma_1\leq\mu\leq\sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3-\mu a_2^2|+\frac{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1|b_3|}\left(1-\frac{B_2}{B_1}+\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2}+\frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \leq\frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}$$

and if $\sigma_3\leq\mu\leq\sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3-\mu a_2^2|+\frac{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1|b_3|}\left(1+\frac{B_2}{B_1}-\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2}-\frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \leq\frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}.$$

Proof. For the values of $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1|b_3|}\left(1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \\ = |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1)|a_2|^2 \\ = \frac{B_1}{4(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left[|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1|^2\right] \\ \leq \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)B_1|b_3|}\left(1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \\ = |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu)|a_2|^2 \\ = \frac{B_1}{4(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\left[|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1-v)|c_1|^2\right] \\ \leq \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.3. If we set $\alpha = 1$ and $g(z) = z/(1-z)$ in Theorem 2.1, then we have the result [4, Theorem 3] of Ma and Minda.

Remark 2.4. By setting $\alpha = 0$ and $g(z) = z/(1-z)$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result of Murugusundaramoorthy *et al.* [5, Corollary 2.2].

Using Lemma 1.6 and equation (15), we deduce the following:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $g(z)$ be given by (2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. Assume that $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{L}_g}(\phi)$, then for any complex number μ*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}\max\left\{1; \left|\frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)B_1 b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2} + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}\right|\right\}.$$

3. Applications

A few applications of our main results are discussed here.

Definition 3.1. [13] Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function in a simply connected region of the complex plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of order δ is defined by

$$D_z^\delta f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)}\frac{d}{dz}\int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^\delta}dt \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1),$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-t)^\delta$ is removed by requiring that $\log(z-t)$ is real for $(z-t) > 0$.

Using the above Definition 3.1 and its extensions involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava [14] introduced the operator $\Omega^\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$(\Omega^\delta f)(z) = \Gamma(2-\delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z), \ \delta \neq 2, 3, 4 \cdots .$$

If we take $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)} z^n$ in Theorem 2.1, then we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Let* $\alpha \geq 0$ *and* $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ *. If* $f \in \mathcal{S}_g^\alpha(\phi)$*, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{(2-\delta)B_1}{2(1+\alpha)}$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)B_1}{12(2\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{3\mu(2\alpha+1)(2-\delta)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2(3-\delta)} \right) & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)B_1}{12(2\alpha+1)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)B_1}{12(2\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{3\mu(2\alpha+1)(2-\delta)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2(3-\delta)} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2(3-\delta)}{3(2-\delta)(2\alpha+1)B_1} \left(\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} - 1 \right)$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2(3-\delta)}{(2-\delta)(2\alpha+1)B_1} \left(1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)B_1}{(1+\alpha)^2} \right).$$

The result is sharp.

From Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we deduce the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $g(z)$ *be given by (2) with* b_2, b_3 *non zero real numbers. Assume that* $\alpha \geq 0$ *and* $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$*. If* $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathscr{L}_g}^\alpha(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz})$*, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{C-D}{(1+\alpha)|b_2|}$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{D-C}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|} \left(D + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)(C-D)b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2} \right) & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{C-D}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ \frac{C-D}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|} \left(D + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)(C-D)b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2} \right) & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)(D-C)b_3} \left(1 + D + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)(C-D)b_3} \left(1 - D - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} \right).$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 3.4. The result can be improved when $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$ as follows:

If $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)(C-D)|b_3|}\left(1 + D + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)(C-D)b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \le \frac{C-D}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}$$

and if $\sigma_3 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)(C-D)|b_3|}\left(1 - D - \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2} - \frac{2\mu(2\alpha+1)(C-D)b_3}{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \le \frac{C-D}{2(2\alpha+1)|b_3|},$$

where

$$\sigma_3 := \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)(D-C)b_3}\left(D + \frac{(\alpha^2-4\alpha-1)(C-D)}{(1+\alpha)^2}\right).$$

By taking $D = -1$ and $C = 1$ in the above Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following:

Example 3.5. *Let $\alpha \ge 0$ and $g(z)$ be given by (2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}_g}(\frac{1+z}{1-z})$, then*

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2}{(1+\alpha)|b_2|}$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^2|b_3|}\left(\frac{3+10\alpha-\alpha^2}{2\alpha+1} - \frac{4\mu b_3}{b_2^2}\right) & \text{if } \mu \le \sigma_1; \\ \frac{1}{(2\alpha+1)|b_3|} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2; \\ \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^2|b_3|}\left(\frac{\alpha^2-10\alpha-3}{2\alpha+1} + \frac{4\mu b_3}{b_2^2}\right) & \text{if } \mu \ge \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(1+4\alpha-\alpha^2)b_2^2}{2(2\alpha+1)b_3} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 := \frac{(3\alpha+1)b_2^2}{(2\alpha+1)b_3}.$$

The result can be improved when $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$ as follows:

If $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{b_2^2}{2|b_3|}\left(\frac{\alpha^2-4\alpha-1}{2\alpha+1} + \frac{2\mu b_3}{b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \le \frac{1}{(2\alpha+1)|b_3|}$$

and if $\sigma_3 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{b_2^2}{|b_3|}\left(\frac{3\alpha+1}{2\alpha+1} - \frac{\mu b_3}{b_2^2}\right)|a_2|^2 \le \frac{1}{(2\alpha+1)|b_3|},$$

where

$$\sigma_3 := \frac{(3+10\alpha-\alpha^2)b_2^2}{4(2\alpha+1)b_3}.$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 3.6. We obtain the result of Răducanu [8, Theorem 2] by taking

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m z^n \quad (m \in \{0,1,2,3,\ldots\})$$

in the above Example 3.5.

Remark 3.7. Setting $\alpha = 0$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in the Example 3.5, we obtain the following result [12]:

If $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then

$$|a_2| \leq 2$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1; \\ 4\mu - 3 & \text{if } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The result can be improved when $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$ as follows:

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{2}(2\mu - 1)|a_2|^2 \leq 1$$

and if $\frac{3}{4} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (1 - \mu)|a_2|^2 \leq 1.$$

Setting $\alpha = 1$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in the Example 3.5, we have the following result:

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$|a_2| \leq 1$$

and for any real number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \mu & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}; \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}; \\ \mu - 1 & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3}. \end{cases}$$

The result can be improved when $\frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}$ as follows:

If $\frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{3}(3\mu - 2)|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

and if $1 \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{3}(4 - 3\mu)|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Taking $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}, -1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, in Theorem 2.5, we deduce the following:

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $g(z)$ be given by (2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{L}_g}(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz})$, then for any complex number μ*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{C - D}{2(2\alpha + 1)|b_3|} \max\left\{1; \left|\frac{2\mu(2\alpha + 1)(C - D)b_3}{(1 + \alpha)^2 b_2^2} + \frac{(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - 1)(C - D)}{(1 + \alpha)^2} + D\right|\right\}.$$

Remark 3.9. If we take $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m z^n$, $D = -1$ and $C = 1$ in the above Corollary 3.8, we have the following result [8, Theorem 3] of Răducanu:

Let $\alpha \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{HS}^*_m(\alpha)$, then for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3^m(1 + 2\alpha)} \max\left\{1; \frac{\left|2^{2m-1}(\alpha^2 - 10\alpha - 3) + 2.3^m(1 + 2\alpha)\mu\right|}{2^{2m-1}(1 + \alpha)^2}\right\}.$$

Remark 3.10. If we set $D = -1, C = 1$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 3.8, then for $\alpha = 0$, we have the following result [3, Theorem 1](see also [12]):

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Then for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \max\{1; |4\mu - 3|\}.$$

Setting $\alpha = 1, D = -1, C = 1$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 3.8, we obtain the following result [3, Corollary 1] due to Keogh and Merkes:

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}$, then for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{3}; |\mu - 1|\right\}.$$

References

[1] J. DZIOK AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **14** (2003), no. 1, 7–18.

[2] M. FEKETE AND G. SZEGÖ, Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen, J. Londan Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 85–89.

[3] F. R. KEOGH AND E. P. MERKES, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.

[4] W. C. MA AND D. MINDA, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.

[5] G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY, S. KAVITHA AND T. ROSY, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for some subclasses of analytic functions defined by convolution, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 44(4), 249–254, 2007.

[6] C. POMMERENKE, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

[7] V. RAVICHANDRAN, Y. POLATOGLU, M. BOLCAL AND A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **34** (2005), 9–15.

[8] D. RĂDUCANU, On a subclass of analytic functions defined by a differential operator, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. III **2(51)** (2009), 223–229.

[9] S. RUSCHEWEYH, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 109–115.

[10] G. Ş. SĂLĂGEAN, Subclasses of univalent functions, in *Complex analysis—fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, 362–372, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013 Springer, Berlin.

[11] S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR, VIRENDRA KUMAR AND V. RAVICHANDRAN, Subordination and superordination for multivalent functions defined by linear operators, preprint.

[12] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. K. MISHRA AND M. K. DAS, The Fekete-Szegő problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **44** (2001), no. 2, 145–163.

[13] H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA, An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon. **29** (1984), no. 3, 383–389.

[14] S. OWA AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), no. 5, 1057–1077.

[15] N. TUNESKI AND M. DARUS, Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevič functions, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) **18** (2002), no. 2, 63–65.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi-110042, India

E-mail address: `spkumar@dce.ac.in`

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi-110042, India

E-mail address: `vktmaths@yahoo.in`